Rulemaking for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electric Utility Generating Units

Update Briefing, February 26, 2014
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Reducing Carbon Pollution from Power Plants

The President’s Directive to EPA:

► Set flexible carbon pollution standards, regulations or guidelines, as appropriate, for power plants under section 111 of the Clean Air Act

► Focus on the following elements when developing the standards and guidelines
  ► Stakeholder engagement on program design
  ► Flexibilities in the program design
  ► Costs
  ► Continued importance of relying on a range of energy sources
  ► Other regulations that affect the power sector
EPA’s Task: Using the Clean Air Act Authority

1. Issue carbon pollution standards for new power plants
   ► Proposal published in the Federal Register on January 8, 2014
     • Informed by the more than 2.5 million comments received on the April 2012 proposal
     • Reflects recent developments and trends in the power sector
     • Public hearing held in Washington, DC on February 6, 2014
       – Over 150 people testified in 2 concurrent sessions – representing industry, environmental groups, faith groups and the general public
     • Comments may be submitted through March 10, 2014

2. Issue carbon pollution standards for modified and reconstructed power plants
   ► Proposal: June 2014
   ► Final: June 2015
3. Issue carbon pollution guidelines for existing power plants

► Issue federal guidelines for states
  • Work in partnership with states
  • States will develop plans that set standards for existing sources
  • States use EPA guidelines as a reference

► Federal guidelines should build on states’ leadership and experience with programs that reduce GHGs

► Proposal: June 2014

► Final: June 2015

► States submit implementation plans to EPA: June 2016
Affected Sources

Fossil fuel-fired steam generating units and integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) units that:

- Have a design heat input greater than 73 MW (250MMBtu/h) heat input of fossil fuel (either alone or in combination with any other fuel);
- Combust fossil fuel for more than 10% percent of the average annual heat input during a 3-year rolling average basis; and
- Were constructed for the purpose of supplying, and supply, one-third or more of its potential electric output and more than 219,000 MWh net-electric output to a utility distribution system on an annual basis.

_Fossil fuel_ means natural gas, petroleum, coal, and any form of solid, liquid or gaseous fuel derived from such material for the purpose of creating useful heat.
Affected Sources (cont.)

Natural gas-fired stationary combustion turbines that:

- Have a design heat input to the turbine engine greater than 73 MW (250 MMBtu/h);
- Combust over 90% natural gas on a heat input basis on a 3-year rolling average basis; and
- Were constructed for the purpose of supplying, and supply, one-third or more of its potential electric output and more than 219,000 MWh net-electrical output to a utility distribution system on a 3-year rolling average basis.
Affected Sources (cont.)

► ≈ 1,212 utility boilers at 536 facilities
  ► ≈ 827 coal-fired boilers at 373 facilities
  ► ≈ 33 oil-fired boilers at 17 facilities
  ► ≈ 352 gas-fired boilers at 172 facilities

► 4 IGCC plants currently operating in the U.S.

► ≈ 1,516 stationary combustion turbines at 526 facilities

► Includes investor-owned, publicly-owned and rural cooperative EGUs
  ► 175 state/local jurisdictions are estimated to own EGUs that may be affected by this action
  ► See Appendix A for list of potentially impacted state/local jurisdictions
Power Plants Covered by the EGU GHG Rule

Source: National Electric Energy Data System (NEEDS 5.13) (EPA, November 2013)
Note: This map displays facilities that are included in the NEEDS 5.13 database and that contain at least one coal, oil or gas-fired steam generating unit, or combustion turbine that generates more than 25 megawatts of power. This includes coal-fired units that burn petroleum coke and that turn coal into gas before burning (using integrated gasification combined cycle or IGCC). NEEDS reflects available capacity on-line by the end of 2015; this includes committed new builds and committed retirements of old units. In areas with a dense concentration of facilities, the facilities on the map may overlap and some may be impossible to see.
Clean Air Act Section 111

- Authorized in 1970

- Establishes a mechanism for controlling air pollution from stationary sources
  - Applies to sources for which the Administrator, in her judgment, finds “causes, or contributes significantly to, air pollution which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare”
  - Can apply to new, existing, modified and reconstructed sources

- More than 70 stationary source categories and subcategories are currently regulated under section 111
  - A full list of sources regulated under section 111 can be found in 40 CFR Part 60
CAA Section 111(b) for Modified & Reconstructed Sources

- Federal standards for reconstructed and modified sources are set following the same process used to set standards for new sources.
- Standards reflect the degree of emission limitation achievable through the application of the best system of emission reduction (BSER) that the Administrator determines has been adequately demonstrated.
  - EPA may distinguish among classes, types and sizes of sources.
  - In determining BSER, EPA may take into account:
    - Technical feasibility of the system
    - Implementation costs
    - Amount of emission reductions
    - Promotion of advanced technology
- Modification – a physical change that increases the source’s maximum achievable hourly rate of emissions.
- Reconstruction – when a single project replaces components and exceeds 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable entirely new facility, and it is technologically and economically feasible to meet the applicable standards.
CAA Section 111(d) for Existing Sources

► Emission guidelines for existing sources are set by EPA
  ► Different approach for achieving emission reductions than the approach used for new, reconstructed and modified sources
  ► EPA establishes (1) a procedure for states to issue performance standards for existing sources and (2) guidance about the appropriate level of the standard
  ► EPA has established section 111(d) regulations for 5 source categories
    • Sulfuric acid plants (acid mist)
    • Phosphate fertilizer plants (fluorides)
    • Primary aluminum plants (fluorides)
    • Kraft pulp plants (total reduced sulfur)
    • Municipal solid waste landfills (landfill gases)
Emission guidelines (cont.)

Common elements of past guidelines

- Description of Best System of Emission Reduction (BSER) that has been adequately demonstrated
- Degree of emission limitation achievable, costs and environmental impacts of application
- Time required to implement
- Other information to facilitate development of state plans
- A goal for reductions – or “standard of performance” – based on a BSER analysis

Section 111(d) is broad by design

- Recognition that existing sources do not have as much flexibility as new ones to build emission controls into their design
- Provides greater flexibility to EPA and states to design a program in consultation with a diverse range of stakeholders
How section 111(d) has worked:

- Previously established guidelines have not prescribed technology that must be used to comply
- Once EPA set the guidelines, states developed section 111(d) plans establishing standards of performance for the covered sources in their state
- States submitted section 111(d) plans to EPA for review and approval
- After evaluating the plans, EPA took action through notice and comment rulemaking
- EPA has authority to prescribe a plan for a state in cases where the state fails to submit a satisfactory plan and to enforce the provisions of a plan in cases where the state fails to enforce them
How state plans have worked:

- States determine the combination of measures that will meet the guidelines
- State plans set standards of performance
  - Can be identical to EPA’s guidelines
  - Can differ from, but be equivalent to, EPA’s guidelines
- State plans provide for implementation and enforcement
  - States have had flexibility when applying the standard of performance in their plans to take into consideration, among other factors, the remaining useful life of the source
- Timeframe to submit state plans has been set by EPA in the guidelines
Stakeholder Outreach

- EPA has engaged in extensive stakeholder outreach
  - Will inform the emission guidelines and standards development
  - EPA held 11 public listening sessions in October & November 2013
    - More than 1,600 people spoke
  - EPA provided multiple opportunities to engage with each state
    - EPA officials met with state leaders, including governors, environmental commissioners, energy officers, public utility commissioners and air directors
- Other stakeholders EPA officials have met with include:
  - Electric utility associations
  - Electric grid operators
  - Labor unions
Stakeholder Outreach (cont.)

► Other stakeholders (cont.):
  • Leaders representing large and small industries
    – Utilities and utility industry representatives
    – Energy industry representatives (e.g., coal and natural gas interests)
    – Companies offering technologies to reduce/prevent carbon pollution (e.g., renewable energy and energy efficiency interests)
    – Representatives of energy intensive industries (e.g., iron and steel, and aluminum)
  • Environmental justice organizations
  • Environmental groups
  • Religious organizations

► EPA established a new email account called “Carbon Pollution Input” to which over 2,000 emails providing stakeholder input have been submitted
Stakeholder Outreach (cont.)

► Key messages from stakeholders
  ▶ Broad agreement that opportunities exist to lower the carbon emissions of power generation through a wide range of measures
    • Measures implementable at the source
    • Measures implementable across the broader power generation system
  ▶ Multiple opinions about how broader system measures could factor into programs
    • System-wide measures factored into the goals EPA establishes
    • System-wide measures allowed for compliance, but not factored into goals
  ▶ Varied views regarding form and stringency of standards
    • Rate-based versus mass-based
    • Provide states flexibility to choose among multiple forms of the goals or to set their own goals
    • Goal stringency should vary by state to account for different circumstances
Stakeholder Outreach (cont.)

► Key messages (cont.)
  ► General support for giving states flexibility
    • Recognize existing programs and achievements in reducing CO₂ emissions
      – Allow state plans to take into account the reductions already achieved
    • Allow compliance options that permit the use of approaches that are outside the facility fence line (e.g., demand-side management)
  ► Broad agreement that states need more time to develop and submit plans
    • Necessary due to legislative/regulatory schedule in many states
    • Would allow and promote multi-state and regional programs and cooperation
  ► Support for flexibility in the timing of state plan implementation and compliance with goals
    • Accommodates diverse CO₂ reduction potential
    • Supports approaches that are more cost-effective
  ► General concern that rulemaking could have negative impacts on:
    • Jobs and ratepayers
    • Reliability of power
    • Utilities (e.g., stranded generation assets)
Modified Sources

► Trigger: Physical change that results in an increase in the maximum potential hourly emission rate

► Potential regulatory approaches
  ► Boilers/IGCC units
    • EGU case-specific numerical standard
    • National standard
  ► Combustion turbines
    • Numerical standard

► EPA doesn’t project any modified EGUs
  ► Historically, few EGUs have notified EPA that they have modified - an increase in the hourly rate for pollutants most often results from an increase in the ability to combust fuel
  ► Increases in a pollutant that are a result of the installation of a pollution control technology are not considered NSPS modifications (pollution control project exemption)
Reconstructed Sources

- **Trigger:** Fixed capital cost of the new components for a single project must exceed 50 percent of the fixed capital cost that would be required to construct a comparable new facility.

- **Potential regulatory approaches**
  - **Boilers/IGCC units**
    - Efficiency standard based on combustion technology
    - Considering separate standards based on EGU size
  - **Combustion turbines**
    - Numerical standard

- **EPA doesn’t project any reconstructed EGUs**
  - Aware of one EGU that has triggered reconstruction and it was the result of an industrial accident (Hawthorn Power Plant in Kansas City, MO)
Existing Sources

- Emission guidelines will set state-specific goals
- Goals will likely be rate-based
  - States would be able to convert to mass-based goals
  - Would provide flexibility and accommodate existing state mass-based programs
- Goals would not include an assumption of CCS
  - State plans including CCS would get credit for it
- Setting goals – Potential design approaches
  - Source-based approach
    - Emission reduction measures that could be taken directly by power plants
  - System-based approach
    - Broader portfolio of measures including those taken beyond power plants but still reduce emissions at power plants
Setting goals – Potential options to reduce emissions

Supply-side options

• Actions at power plants that reduce/avoid CO₂ emissions
  – Heat rate improvements/energy efficiency at the EGU
  – Fuel switching to a lower-emitting fuel or co-firing with a lower-emitting fuel
  – Re-dispatch of EGUs based on CO₂ emission rate
  – Renewable energy portfolio requirements

Demand-side options

• Actions at locations where electricity is used, as well as transmitted and distributed, that lower electricity demand
  – End-use energy efficiency requirements and programs
  – Demand-side management programs
Existing Sources (cont.)

► Approach for state plans -- considerations
  ► Timing of submittals
  ► Use of existing state and multi-state programs
  ► Use of regional plans/partnerships

► Timing of reductions -- considerations
  ► States are at different stages in improving CO\textsubscript{2} emissions
  ► Time needed to attain emission reductions varies based on type of emission reduction measure used
  ► Time needed for legislative approval if required
Questions?

► Project/Program lead: Bob Wayland
  • (919)541-1045
  • wayland.robertj@epa.gov

► Technical leads:
  Christian Fellner
  • (919)541-4003
  • fellner.christian@epa.gov
  Nick Hutson
  • (919)541-2968
  • hutson.nick@epa.gov

► Federalism Contact: Andrew Hanson
  • (202)564-3664
  • hanson.andrew@epa.gov
Appendix A
# State & Local Governments Potentially Subject to Regulation

## OWNERSHIP TYPE – MUNICIPAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State &amp; City</th>
<th>Utility Name</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>Municipal Electric Authority</td>
<td>Chanute Municipal Electric Utility</td>
<td>Floydada TX (City of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Chaska Public Utilities</td>
<td>Freeport Inc (Village of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algonia</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Chillicothe Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Fremont Department of Public Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alta IA</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Clarksdale Water &amp; Light Department</td>
<td>Gainesville Regional Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American</td>
<td>Municipal Power Inc.</td>
<td>Coffeyville Municipal Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>Garland Power &amp; Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames</td>
<td>Municipal Electric System</td>
<td>Colorado Springs Utilities</td>
<td>Geneseo Municipal Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaheim CA</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Colton Electric Utility Department</td>
<td>Gillette WY (City of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Columbia Water &amp; Light</td>
<td>Glendale Water &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Coop</td>
<td>Graettinger Municipal Light Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Utilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conway Corp</td>
<td>Grand Haven Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azusa CA</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Coon Rapids IA (City of)</td>
<td>Grand Island Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bancroft</td>
<td>Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>CPS Energy</td>
<td>Great Falls MT (City of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banning</td>
<td>Electric Division</td>
<td>Dalton GA (City of)</td>
<td>Greenville Electric Utility System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braintree</td>
<td>Electric Light Department</td>
<td>Delaware Municipal Electric Corp</td>
<td>Hamilton Department of Public Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield</td>
<td>Municipal Power &amp; Light</td>
<td>Detroit Public Lighting</td>
<td>Harlan Municipal Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownsville</td>
<td>Public Utility Board</td>
<td>Dover DE (City of)</td>
<td>Hastings Utilities (NE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Texas</td>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Eldridge IA (City of)</td>
<td>Henderson Municipal Power &amp; Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burbank</td>
<td>Water &amp; Power</td>
<td>Farmington NM (City of)</td>
<td>Higginsville Municipal Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Falls</td>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Fayetteville Public Works Commission</td>
<td>Hingham Municipal Light Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>(City of)</td>
<td>Florida Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td>Holland Board of Public Works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## State & Local Governments Potentially Subject to Regulation (cont.)

### OWNERSHIP TYPE – MUNICIPAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Location Details</th>
<th>Location Details</th>
<th>Location Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holyoke Gas &amp; Electric Department</td>
<td>Laurens IA (City of)</td>
<td>North Attleborough Electric Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson Light &amp; Power Department</td>
<td>Lincoln Electric System</td>
<td>North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Littleton MA (Town of)</td>
<td>Northern Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois Municipal Electric Agency</td>
<td>Los Alamos County</td>
<td>Omaha Public Power District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Irrigation District</td>
<td>Los Angeles Department of Water &amp; Power</td>
<td>Orlando Utilities Commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence MO (City of)</td>
<td>Lubbock Power &amp; Light Department</td>
<td>Orrville OH (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence Power &amp; Light</td>
<td>Lyndonville Electric Department</td>
<td>Osceola AR (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td>Manitowoc Public Utilities</td>
<td>Owensboro Municipal Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermountain Power Agency</td>
<td>Marquette Board of Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>Pasadena Water &amp; Power Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown Board of Public Utilities</td>
<td>Marshfield Electric &amp; Water Department</td>
<td>Peabody Municipal Light Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEA</td>
<td>Massachusetts Bay Transmission Authority</td>
<td>Ponca City OK (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonesboro Water &amp; Light</td>
<td>McPherson Board of Public Utilities</td>
<td>Provo City Corp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City Board of Public Utilities</td>
<td>Michigan South Central Power Agency</td>
<td>Redding Electric Utility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keys Energy Services</td>
<td>Milford Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Reedy Creek Improvement District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kissimmee Utility Authority</td>
<td>Montezuma Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>Richmond Power &amp; Light</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Utilities System</td>
<td>Morgan City LA (City of)</td>
<td>Riverside Public Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Worth Utilities</td>
<td>Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia</td>
<td>Rochester Department of Public Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeland Department of Electric Water Utilities</td>
<td>Muscatine Power &amp; Water</td>
<td>Roseville Electric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar Utilities Board</td>
<td>Nebraska City Utilities</td>
<td>Sacramento Power Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing Board of Water &amp; Light</td>
<td>New Hampton Municipal Light Plant</td>
<td>Santa Clara CA (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## OWNERSHIP TYPE – MUNICIPAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership Type</th>
<th>Location/Utility Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santee Cooper</td>
<td>West Bend IA (City of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikeston Utilities</td>
<td>West Memphis Utility Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Eastern Electric Development Corp.</td>
<td>Winfield KS (City of)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer Municipal Utilities</td>
<td>WPPI Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield MO (City of)</td>
<td>Wyoming Municipal Power Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Water, Light &amp; Power Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St George Department of Water &amp; Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumner Municipal Light Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallahassee FL (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrebonne Parish Consolidated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipton IA (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulia TX (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turlock Irrigation District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah Municipal Power Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vero Beach Municipal Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineland NJ (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waverly Light &amp; Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster City IA (City of)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OWNERSHIP TYPE – SUBDIVISION
- Arkansas River Power Authority
- Calhoun Port Authority
- Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority
- Heartland Consumers Power District
- Kansas Power Pool
- Kentucky Municipal Power Agency
- Kings River Conservation District
- Lafayette Public Power Authority
- Louisiana Energy & Power Authority
- Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Co.
- Missouri River Energy Services
- Modesto Irrigation District
- Municipal Energy Agency of Nebraska
- Nebraska Public Power District
- Northern Illinois Municipal Power Agency
- Platte River Power Authority
- PUD No 1 of Clark County
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District
- Salt River Project
- Southern Nevada Water Authority
- Utah Associated Municipal Power System
- Western Minnesota Municipal Power Agency

### OWNERSHIP TYPE – STATE
- California Department of Water Resources
- Grand River Dam Authority
- Lower Colorado River Authority
- Michigan Public Power Agency
- Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission
- New York Power Authority
- Northern California Power Agency
- Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority